

Chapter 9.

User Updates

User updates supply data users with additional or corrected information that becomes available after the technical documentation or files are prepared. They are issued as Count Question Resolution Notes, Data Notes, Geography Notes, and Technical Documentation Notes in a numbered series and are available in portable document format (PDF) on our Web site at <http://www.census.gov>.

If you print the documentation, please file the user updates cover sheet behind this notice. If there are technical documentation replacement pages, they should be filed in their proper location and the original pages destroyed.

Summary File 3

Data Note 1

On the Census 2000 long-form questionnaire, individuals could report more than one type of disability. Summary File 3 Table P41, Age by Types of Disability for the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population 5 Years and Over With Disabilities, has as its universe the total disabilities tallied. Each line of the table represents the number of occurrences of a particular disability, and the numbers should be interpreted with care. For example, the second line of data in the table titled "Total disabilities tallied for people 5 to 15 years" does not refer to the number of people 5 to 15 years old, or to the number of people 5 to 15 with a disability. Rather it is the sum of the number of all disabilities reported among the 5 to 15 year old population. Lines in the table referencing specific disabilities are more easily interpreted. The third line in the table titled "Sensory disability," for example, refers to the number of sensory disabilities reported among people 5 to 15 years (or the number of people 5 to 15 years old with a sensory disability).

Data users wanting to know the percent of civilian noninstitutionalized people 5 to 15 years old with, for example, a sensory disability should divide line 3 from Table P41 with the sum of lines 3 and 27 from Table P42, Sex by Age by Disability Status by Employment Status for the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population 5 Years and Over. Data users wanting to know the same percentages for one of the nine race or Hispanic or Latino origin groups should use Tables PCT67A-I and Tables PCT68A-I, as appropriate.

June 2002

Summary File 3

Data Note 2

Users may find slight differences in aggregate earnings for households between the Demographic Profile and Summary File 3 and related products. These differences are due to the treatment of offsetting positive and negative amounts for household members. Whenever offsetting values occurred, the Demographic Profile assigned these households a value zero while Summary File 3 and related products assigned a value of one dollar. The assignment of one dollar allows users to distinguish those households that had earnings from those households that did not have earnings. This will have little effect, if any, on mean household earnings.

June 2002

Summary File 3

Data Note 3

Users may find slight differences in the Occupants Per Room calculations between the Demographic Profile and Summary File 3, Summary File 4, and related products. "Occupants per room" is obtained by dividing the number of people in each occupied housing unit by the number of rooms in the unit. The Summary File 3 products correctly used a topcode value of "10 rooms" for those occupied housing units with "9 or more rooms." In the Demographic Profiles, an incorrect topcode value of "9 rooms" was used.

June 2002

Summary File 3

Data Note 4

The Census Bureau is aware there may be a problem or problems in the employment-status data of Census 2000 Summary File 3 (including tables P38, P43-46, P149A-I, P150A-I, PCT35, PCT69A-I, and PCT70A-I). The labor force data for some places where colleges are located appear to overstate the number in the labor force, the number unemployed, and the percent unemployed, probably because of reporting or processing error. The exact cause is unknown, but the Census Bureau will continue to research the problem.

July 2002

Summary File 3

Data Note 5

In Summary File 3 (SF 3), data are not available for four tables when using the geographic component¹ rural farm (geographic component 49). These tables are:

- P3. 100-Percent Count of the Population
- P4. Percent of the Population in Sample
- H3. 100-Percent Count of Housing Units
- H4. Percent of Housing Units in Sample by Occupancy Status

This is because these tables refer to a 100-percent count, and the concept of farm residence² is defined based on answers available only on the sample (long-form) questionnaire. Tables P3, P4, H3, and H4 are zero-filled for the rural farm geographic component. Also zero-filled are fields for land area, water area, population count (100-percent), housing unit count (100-percent), and internal points (latitude and longitude) in the geographic header record³.

For the remaining tables in SF 3, characteristics data are available for the rural farm geographic component. In the SF 3 state-level files, the rural farm data are available for states (summary level⁴ 040) and counties (summary level 050). In the SF 3 national file, these data are available for the United States (summary level 010), regions (020), divisions (030), and states (040).

This note applies to the following data products:

- All SF 3 files available at the Census Bureau's FTP site.
- SF 3 CD-ROMs and DVDs.
- American FactFinder SF 3 detailed tables (geographic identifier for state geographic components)

¹Geographic components and their codes are listed in the *Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation* in Chapter 7 (Data Dictionary, Footnote Section).

²Detailed explanations of subject characteristics are found in the *Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation* in Appendix B (Definitions of Subject Characteristics).

³A description of the geographic header record is found in the *Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation* in Chapter 2 (How to Use This File).

⁴Complete summary level information is in the *Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation* in Chapter 4 (Summary Level Sequence Chart).

July 2002

Summary File 3

INDEX TO SUMMARY FILE 3 GEOGRAPHY NOTES

Note	Geographic area
1	Alaska
2	California
3	Connecticut
4	Florida
5	Georgia
6	Nebraska
7	Tennessee
8	Wisconsin

Summary File 3

Geography Note 1

Alaska: 02

Nelson Lagoon Alaska Native village statistical area (ANVSA) (AIANHH 7025) erroneously contains block 2010, census tract 1 (000100) in Aleutians East census area (01598), Aleutians East Borough (013). This block should have not been coded to any ANVSA (9999). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

This note applies to American FactFinder (AFF), CD-ROM, and redistricting data downloaded from the FTP site.

Internal Errata ID 02-003

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 2

California: 06

Los Angeles city (FIPS code 44000) erroneously contains block 1011, census tract 4002.03 (400203) in East San Gabriel Valley CCD (FIPS code 90810), Los Angeles County (FIPS code 037), CA (FIPS code 06). This block should have been coded to the place Balance of East San Gabriel Valley CCD (FIPS code 99999). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

This note applies to American FactFinder (AFF), CD-ROM, and redistricting data downloaded from the FTP side.

Internal Errata ID 06-001

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 3

Connecticut: 09

The place record, Balance of Milford town (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains block 2999, census tract 1502 (150200) in Milford town (FIPS code 47535), New Haven County (FIPS code 009), CT (FIPS code 09). This block should have been coded to place Milford city (balance) (FIPS code 47515). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

This note applies to American FactFinder (AFF), CD-ROM, and redistricting data downloaded from the FTP site.

Internal Errata ID 09-001

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 4

Florida: 12

Yeehaw Junction CDP (FIPS code 78975) in St. Cloud CCD (FIPS code 93029), Osceola County (FIPS code 097), FL (FIPS code 12) should be named Buenaventura Lakes with FIPS code 09415. In 1990, this area was named Buena Ventura Lakes (FIPS code 09415). The area that should have been Yeehaw Junction CDP was erroneously not defined and does not appear in any Census 2000 products.

Internal Errata ID 12-001

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 5

Georgia: 13

The place record Balance of Athens CCD (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains blocks 2021 and 2023, census tract 1305 (130500) in Athens CCD (FIPS code 90138), Clarke County (FIPS code 059). Both blocks should have been coded to Bogart town (FIPS code 09068).

The place record Balance of Winterville CCD (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains blocks 1008 and 1009, census tract 1406 (140600) in Winterville CCD (93402), Clarke County (FIPS code 059). Both blocks should have been coded to the place Athens-Clarke County (balance) (FIPS code 03440). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

This note applies to American FactFinder (AFF), CD-ROM, and redistricting data downloaded from the FTP site.

Internal Errata ID 13-001

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 6

Nebraska: 31

In the PL 94-171 and Summary File (SF) data products, Cisco CDP (FIPS code 09112) in Lisco precinct (FIPS code 91790), Garden County (FIPS code 069), NE (FIPS code 31) should be named Lisco with FIPS code of 28315.

Internal Errata ID 31-002

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 7

Tennessee: 47

The place record Balance of Metropolitan Government CCD (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains blocks 1001 and 1008, census tract 171 (017100) in Metropolitan Government CCD (FIPS code 92200), Davidson County (FIPS code 037), TN (FIPS code 47). Both blocks should have been coded to place Nashville-Davidson (balance) (FIPS code 52006). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

Internal Errata ID 47-001

May 2001

Summary File 3

Geography Note 8

Wisconsin: 55

The county subdivision of Scott town (FIPS code 72200), in place Balance of Scott town (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains blocks 2048, 2063, and 2064, census tract 203 (020300), Brown County (FIPS code 009), WI (FIPS code 55). These blocks should have been coded to county subdivision and place Pulaski village (FIPS code 65675).

The county subdivision of Pittsfield town (FIPS code 63075), in place Balance of Pittsfield town (FIPS code 99999) erroneously contains block 2049, census tract 203 (020300), Brown County (FIPS code 009). This block should have been coded to county subdivision and place Pulaski village (FIPS code 65675). This is incorrect in both the PL 94-171 data products and Summary File (SF) data products.

Internal Errata ID 55-001

May 2001